EXHIBIT C

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                   CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
 4
     MOOG INC.,
               Plaintiff,
 5
                                             No. 2:22-cv-09094-
 6
          vs.
                                             GW-MAR
 7
     SKYRYSE, INC., ROBERT ALIN
     PILKINGTON, MISOOK KIM, AND DOES
 8
     NOS. 1-50,
               Defendants.
 9
10
11
12
              VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN KOO, taken on
13
     behalf of the plaintiff and counter-defendant Moog Inc.,
14
     at Sheppard Mullin, 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite
15
     1600, Los Angeles, commencing at 1:06 p.m., Wednesday,
     May 3, 2023, before Diana L. Porter, Certified Shorthand
16
17
     Reporter No. 12729.
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT MOOG INC.:
3	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP BY: KAZIM A. NAQVI, ESQ.
4	1901 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1600
5	Los Angeles, California 90067 (310)228-3700
6	knaqvi@sheppardmullin.com
7	FOR DEFENDANT AND COUNTER-CLAIMANT SKYRYSE, INC.:
8	LATHAM & WATKINS BY: GABRIEL S. GROSS, ESQ.
9	140 Scott Drive Menlo Park, California 94025
10	(650)328-4600 gabe.gross@lw.com
11	
12	ALSO PRESENT:
13	JACOB SHOBER, VIDEOGRAPHER
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	BY MR. NAQVI:	13:35
2	Q Let me ask it a different way.	
3 —	Your Paragraph 7 discusses Skyryse's	
4	cloud-based working platform. Can you tell me what	
5	that entails?	13:36
6	A As I mentioned previously, we use again,	
7 _	I don't know the sort of marketing name for but,	
8	what I refer to as Google suite, which is	
9	Google-based products, email. You know, when you	
10	want to share files, there's a Google Drive. There	13:36
11	is we use their sort of word processing platforms	
12	that also cloud-based, that's attached to the Google	
13	suite, their spreadsheet equivalent, sort of Excel	
14	product that they have, the calendar, you know,	
15	most it is our from my perspective, again,	13:36
16	most of what, you know, I interact with on a daily	
17	basis is through the Google platform that we use.	
18	Q Are Skyryse's source code repositories	
19	included within the cloud-based workspace platform	
20	that you're referring to in Paragraph 7?	13:37
21	MR. GROSS: Lacks foundation. Beyond the	
22	scope of the parties' agreement.	
23	THE DEPONENT: Sorry. You're asking about	
24	the software that repeat the question.	
25		



1	BY MR. NAQVI:	13:37
2	Q I'm asking are Skyryse's source code	
3	repositories included in the cloud-based working	
4	platform that you reference in Paragraph 7?	
5	MR. GROSS: Same objections.	13:37
6	THE DEPONENT: I don't know if they're	
7	storing them within Google Drive or using something	
8	else to do that.	
9	BY MR. NAQVI:	
10	Q At the outset of this case, were any	13:37
11	preservation efforts put in place with regard to	
12	Skyryse-issued electronic devices?	
13	MR. GROSS: Just a second.	
14	Vague. Foundation.	
15	You may answer.	13:38
16	THE DEPONENT: Can you clarify what you	
17	mean by preservation measures.	
18	BY MR. NAQVI:	
19	Q Yeah.	
20	So here in Paragraph 7, you're talking	13:38
21	about technological measures in place for Skyryse's	
22	cloud-based workspace platform.	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Right now, I'm asking specifically were any	
25	technological measures put in place with regard to	13:38
		1



1_	Skyryse-issued electronic devices?	13:38
2	MR. GROSS: Same objections.	
3 —	THE DEPONENT: Beyond beyond, again,	
4	sort of the existing platform that we have I'm not	
5	aware of any.	13:38
6	BY MR. NAQVI:	
7	Q And were any technological measures put in	
8	place with regard to any Skyryse-issued devices to	
9	contractors?	
10	MR. GROSS: Same objections.	13:38
11 -	THE DEPONENT: Again, I don't I don't	
12	recall any additional measures being taking	
13	place, because I believe what we had existing	
14	covered the measures that we needed. There was I	
15	can recall there was nothing additional done.	13:39
16	BY MR. NAQVI:	
17	Q Were any technological measures put in	
18	place to preserve data on Skyryse's employees'	
19	personal devices?	
20	MR. GROSS: Objection. Foundation. Beyond	13:39
21	the scope of the agreed-on topics for this	
22	declaration. Let me object to the extent it	
23	mischaracterizes the declaration as well.	
24	You may answer.	
25	THE DEPONENT: I don't know of anything	13:39



1	BY MR. NAQVI:	13:44
2	Q Did FTI collect or image the personal	
3	devices of any Skyryse contractors in connection	
4	with this case?	
5 =	MR. GROSS: Same objections. Lacks	13:44
6	foundation. Calls for speculation. Object to the	
7	extent it calls for attorney-client privileged	
8	communications. You may object to it going	
9	beyond the scope of the parties' agreement.	
10	You may answer with a "yes," "no," or "I	13:45
11	don't know."	
12	THE DEPONENT: I'm not sure.	
13	BY MR. NAQVI:	
14	Q Did Skyryse collect I'm sorry. Strike	
15	that.	13:45
16	Did FTI collect or image any Skyryse-issued	
17	electronic devices for any Skyryse contractors?	
18	MR. GROSS: Is that a different question?	
19	MR. NAQVI: No.	
20	MR. GROSS: Asked and answered. Same	13:45
21	objections as before.	
22	You may answer with "yes," "no," or "I	
23	don't know."	
24	MR. NAQVI: Sorry. It is a different	
25	question.	13:45
		1



STEPHEN KOO MOOG INC. vs SKYRYSE

1	THE DEPONENT: Yeah. Can you repeat the	13:45
2	question? Sorry.	
3	BY MR. NAQVI:	
4	Q Yeah. So the question before was about	
5	question before was about personal devices.	13:45
6	A Mm-hmm.	
7	Q So now I'm asking about company-issued	
8	devices. So did FTI collect or image any	
9	Skyryse-issued electronic devices for any Skyryse	
10	contractors?	13:45
11	MR. GROSS: Objection. Lacks foundation.	
12	Calls for speculation. It's beyond the scope of the	
13	parties' agreement, and I object to the extent it	
14	calls for privileged communications.	
15	You may answer with a "yes," "no," or "I	13:46
16	don't know."	
17	THE DEPONENT: I don't know.	
18	BY MR. NAQVI:	
19	Q In Paragraph 8, you say, it states, quote,	
20	"This has been a significant undertaking," end	13:46
21	quote. What do you mean by that?	
22	A Well, that's mostly in reference to the	
23	fact that there I am aware of the fact that they	
24	have preserved employee-issued laptops and, in some	
25	cases, they've asked for preservation of laptops for	13:46



1	MR. GROSS: Objection. Lacks foundation.	13:48
2	Object to the extent it mischaracterizes the	
3	declaration. Object that it's outside the scope of	
4	the parties' agreement.	
5	You may answer.	13:48
6	THE DEPONENT: Sorry. Repeat the question	
7	of whether it was the litigation hold yeah, can	
8	you just repeat the question?	
9	BY MR. NAQVI:	
10	Q Yeah.	13:48
11 _	So in Paragraph 9, it talks about it	
12	says, quote, on April 21, 2022, Skyryse issued a	
13	litigation hold to all remaining Skyryse employees,"	
14	end quote. I want to know in that applied to	
15	Skyryse contractors as well.	13:48
16	MR. GROSS: Object to the extent the	
17	question mischaracterizes and misrepresents the	
18 =	declaration. Lacks foundation. It's beyond the	
19	scope.	
20	You may answer with a "yes," "no," or "I	13:48
21	don't know." Don't reveal any privileged	
22	communications in the process.	
23	THE DEPONENT: I don't know.	
24	BY MR. NAQVI:	
25	Q Are you aware of whether Lori Bird received	13:49
		1



1	a litigation hold on April 21, 2022?	13:49
2	MR. GROSS: Lacks foundation. Calls for	
3	speculation. Beyond the scope of the parties'	
4	agreement.	
5	You may answer with a "yes," "no," or "I	13:49
6	don't know," and without revealing privileged	
7	communications with counsel.	
8	THE DEPONENT: I'm not sure. I don't know.	
9	BY MR. NAQVI:	
10	Q How did you verify the statements in	13:49
11	Paragraph 9 of your declaration?	
12	MR. GROSS: Again, answer with facts and	
13	without revealing privileged communications you may	
14	have had.	
15	THE DEPONENT: Sure.	13:49
16	Yeah. No. I mean, similar to paragraph	
17	I just want to make sure I've got the right	
18	paragraph. Similar to Paragraph 6, you know, I	
19	confirmed that through discussions with counsel and,	
20	again, internal Skyryse record-keeping.	13:49
21	BY MR. NAQVI:	
22	Q Let's go to Paragraph 10. So is it correct	
23	that a meeting took place between Gibson Dunn and	
24	Mr. Wang on or about April 6th, 2022?	
25	A Well, it says April 5th here, but, yes, I	13:50
		I.



STEPHEN KOO MOOG INC. vs SKYRYSE

1	THE DEPONENT: One more time, please.	14:09
2	Before August 2022 sorry. Go ahead.	
3	BY MR. NAQVI:	
4	Q Yeah. So the question is, before August	
5	2022, Skyryse was not aware that Lori Bird had any	14:10
6	involvement in this case; correct?	
7	MR. GROSS: Objection. Vague. Also	
8	foundation.	
9	THE DEPONENT: Yes. I believe that's	
10	correct.	14:10
11	BY MR. NAQVI:	
12	Q And so before August 2022, Skyryse had not	
13	made any efforts to preserve any Skyryse-issued	
14	electronic devices to Lori Bird; correct?	
15	MR. GROSS: Foundation. Go ahead.	14:10
16	THE DEPONENT: I don't believe so, no.	
17	BY MR. NAQVI:	
18	Q And before August 2022, Skyryse had not	
19	taken any efforts to preserve any of Lori Bird's	
20	personal electronic devices; correct?	14:11
21	MR. GROSS: Foundation.	
22	You may answer.	
23	THE DEPONENT: I would excuse me. I	
24	would assume not, but I can't confirm for sure.	
25		



STEPHEN KOO May 03, 2023 MOOG INC. vs SKYRYSE 50

1	BY MR. NAQVI:	14:11
2	Q Did Skyryse ever issue a litigation hold to	
3	Lori Bird?	
4	MR. GROSS: Foundation. Object to the	
5	extent it may call for privileged communication.	14:11
6	You may answer with a "yes," "no," or I	
7	don't know."	
8	THE DEPONENT: Repeat the question again.	
9	Sorry.	
10	BY MR. NAQVI:	14:11
11	Q Did Skyryse ever issue a litigation hold	
12	notice to Lori Bird?	
13	A I do not know if Skyryse issued a	
14	litigation hold notice to Lori Bird.	
15	Q Before August 2022, Skyryse had not taken	14:11
16	any efforts to preserve Lori Bird's Skyryse email	
17	account; correct?	
18	MR. GROSS: Lacks foundation. Object to	
19	the extent it mischaracterizes the document. Object	
20	because it's beyond the scope of the parties'	14:12
21	agreement as to the topics of the deposition.	
22	You may answer.	
23	THE DEPONENT: Sorry. Can I ask you to	
24	repeat the question again?	
25	///	
		1



STEPHEN KOO MOOG INC. vs SKYRYSE

1	BY MR. NAQVI:	14:12
2	Q Yeah.	
3	Before August 2022, Skyryse had not taken	
4	any efforts to preserve Lori Bird's Skyryse email	
5	account; correct?	14:12
6	MR. GROSS: Same objections.	
7	THE DEPONENT: No. If you mean by efforts	
8	anything beyond, again, if she's using a Skyryse	
9	email account, I will assume she's using it on a	
10	Google platform, which, again, as I stated earlier,	14:12
11	I believe, is being backed up.	
12	BY MR. NAQVI:	
13	Q Other than Deniz Karakas who you identified	
14	earlier in the deposition, is there any other	
15	Skyryse contractor that you can recall who received	14:13
16	a litigation hold notice?	
17	MR. GROSS: Objection. Lacks foundation.	
18	Calls for speculation. Beyond the scope of the	
19	parties' agreement as to the topics of the	
20	deposition.	14:13
21	Go ahead.	
22	THE DEPONENT: I haven't specifically	
23	thought about this, so I don't I don't know.	
24	BY MR. NAQVI:	
25	Q Did Skyryse ever send Hummingbird Aero a	14:13



STEPHEN KOO MOOG INC. vs SKYRYSE

1	about system, I'm my assumption is, when I refer	14:23
2	to system, anything that Skyryse has or touches.	
3	I'm not a IT or a software engineer, so, you know,	
4	my direction to them was clear. Just, you know,	
5	remove it from our systems.	14:23
6	Q Your Paragraph 15 only discusses removing	
7	from the source code repository. So do you have any	
8	basis to state under oath that SDTE was removed from	
9	any location other than Skyryse's source code	
10	repository?	14:24
11	MR. GROSS: Foundation.	
12	You may answer.	
13	THE DEPONENT: Again, I can't I can't	
14	confirm that.	
15	BY MR. NAQVI:	14:24
16	Q You also can't confirm whether or not	
17	Skyryse took any efforts to remove SDTE code that	
18	may be saved on an employee's personal electronic	
19	device; right?	
20	MR. GROSS: It's an incomplete	14:24
21	hypothetical. Lacks foundation.	
22	THE DEPONENT: Ask the question again.	
23	Sorry.	
24	BY MR. NAQVI:	
25	Q You also cannot confirm whether or not	14:24



1	Skyryse took any efforts to remove SDTE code that	14:24
2	may be saved on an employee's personal electronic	
3	device; right?	
4	MR. GROSS: Same objections.	
5	THE DEPONENT: I do not know.	14:24
6	BY MR. NAQVI:	
7	Q You also can't confirm whether Skyryse took	
8	any efforts to remove SDTE code strike that.	
9	So before July 6, 2022, Skyryse personnel	
10	had access to SDTE code on Skyryse's source code	14:25
11	repository; is that right?	
12	MR. GROSS: Foundation.	
13	THE DEPONENT: I don't know if they did or	
14	didn't.	
15	BY MR. NAQVI:	14:25
16	Q It states here in Paragraph 15, quote, "by	
17	no later than July 6th, 2022," and then it talks	
18	about the removal efforts.	
19	A Yeah.	
20	Q So you have no basis to dis-butte that	14:25
21	before July 6, 2022, Skyryse personnel had access to	
22	SDTE code; right?	
23	MR. GROSS: Lacks foundation. Beyond the	
24	scope of the parties' agreement on the topics of the	
25	deposition.	14:25
		1



STEPHEN KOO MOOG INC. vs SKYRYSE

1	Q Starting on page 4, line 19. Quote, "as	14:29
2	part of its ongoing investigation and related	
3	efforts by no later than July 6th, 2022, out of an	
4	abundance of caution, Skyryse had taken steps at the	
5	direction of management to examine the directories	14:29
6	and files of source code for SRTOS, and to remove	
7	from its source code repository any files that	
8	arguably constitute Moog's proprietary,	
9	confidential, or nonpublic information, and that	
10	Skyryse was unable to confirm were based only on	14:30
11	internal Skyryse information or information	
12	generally known in the industry, " end quote.	
13	Do you see that?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q So, again, this statement discusses	14:30
16	removing files of source code for SRTOS from its	
17	source code repository. So I'm going to ask you a	
18	similar series of questions that I asked before.	
19	You're not aware of any efforts that	
20	Skyryse took to remove SRTOS files from any	14:30
21	employee's company-issued devices; is that right?	
22	MR. GROSS: Lacks foundation. Incomplete	
23	hypothetical.	
24	You may answer.	
25	THE DEPONENT: No, I'm not aware.	14:31



STEPHEN KOO May 03, 2023 MOOG INC. vs SKYRYSE 66

1	BY MR. NAQVI:	14:31
2	Q You're not aware of any efforts that	
3	Skyryse took to remove SRTOS from any employee's	
4	personal electronic device; right?	
5	MR. GROSS: Same objections. Lacks	14:31
6	foundation.	
7	THE DEPONENT: Yeah. I'm not aware of	
8	that.	
9	BY MR. NAQVI:	
10	Q And you're not aware of any efforts that	14:31
11	Skyryse took to remove SRTOS files that may have	
12	been saved on employees' email accounts; right?	
13	MR. GROSS: Lacks foundation.	
14	THE DEPONENT: Yeah. No. I'm not aware of	
15	that.	14:31
16	BY MR. NAQVI:	
17	Q And when was the process completed of	
18	removing SRTOS file strike that.	
19	When was the process completed of removing	
20	certain portions of SRTOS from Skyryse's source code	14:31
21	repository?	
22	A I can't recall the exact date, but it	
23	was I believe it was done in conjunction with the	
24	effort that was made on Paragraph 15. So if I had	
25	to guess, it was, you know, July time period.	14:32
		1



STEPHEN KOO MOOG INC. vs SKYRYSE

1	And when you answer, I want to make sure	14:37
2	you don't reveal any substantive communications the	
3	company may have had with its counsel, if you can.	
4	THE DEPONENT: Yeah. Other than	
5	discussions with counsel and, again, our discussions	14:37
6	with counsel, I guess I shouldn't go beyond that.	
7	BY MR. NAQVI:	
8	Q On what directories or repositories were	
9	Skyryse's software checklists replaced in or around	
10	October 2022?	14:37
11	MR. GROSS: Lacks foundation. Beyond the	
12	scope of the parties' agreement as to the topics of	
13	this deposition.	
14	THE DEPONENT: Again, I don't have direct	
15	knowledge of the actual directories.	14:37
16	BY MR. NAQVI:	
17	Q You're not aware of whether Skyryse took	
18	any efforts to remove preexisting software	
19	checklists used before October 2022 from any	
20	employee's company-issued electronic devices; right?	14:38
21	MR. GROSS: Lacks foundation.	
22	THE DEPONENT: Repeat the question. I'm	
23 🗆	not aware of Skyryse's efforts to?	
24	BY MR. NAQVI:	
25	Q You're not aware of whether Skyryse took	14:38



STEPHEN KOO MOOG INC. vs SKYRYSE

1	any efforts to remove preexisting software	14:38
2	checklists from before October 2022 from any	
3	employee's company-issued electronic devices;	
4	correct?	
5	MR. GROSS: Foundation. Beyond the scope	14:38
6	of the parties' agreement.	
7	You may answer.	
8	THE DEPONENT: Yeah. I don't know other	
9	than I do know we've directed them to use the	
10	ConsuNova checklist.	14:38
11	BY MR. NAQVI:	
12	Q You're not aware of whether Skyryse made	
13	any efforts to remove preexisting checklists from	
14	before October 2022 from any employee's personal	
15	electronic devices; right?	14:39
16	MR. GROSS: Lacks foundation. Beyond the	
17	scope of the parties' agreement.	
18	THE DEPONENT: Yeah. I don't know.	
19	BY MR. NAQVI:	
20	Q You're not aware of whether Skyryse took	14:39
21	any efforts to remove preexisting software	
22	checklists from before October 2022 that may have	
23	been saved on employees' email accounts; right?	
24	MR. GROSS: Same objections. And I object	
25	to the extent it mischaracterizes the declaration.	14:39
		1



1	THE DEPONENT: I do not know.	14:39
2	BY MR. NAQVI:	
3	Q How did you verify the information in	
4	Paragraph 17 of your declaration?	
5	MR. GROSS: Again, you may answer if you	14:39
6	can do so without revealing any privileged	
7	communications with counsel.	
8	THE DEPONENT: Similar to the software	
9	effort. They're they're sort of managers within	
10	Skyryse that are responsible for checklists, and	14:39
11	they were tasked with replacing the checklist with	
12	the ConsuNova checklist.	
13	BY MR. NAQVI:	
14	Q And were you part of the management team	
15	here that provided this direction?	14:40
16	A Yes.	
17	Q And were you involved in the decision to	
18	obtain software checklists from ConsuNova?	
19	A We directed the team to acquire third-party	
20	checklists. And I wasn't specific about whether it	14:40
21	needed to be from ConsuNova or not, just third	
22	party.	
23	Q Have you ever been deposed before?	
24	A No, I have not.	
25	MR. NAQVI: I'm done.	14:40



```
1
                 DEPOSITION OFFICER'S CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
     STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                                )
                                    ss.
     COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 4
 5
 6
              I, Diana L. Porter, hereby certify:
 7
              I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand
     Reporter in the State of California, holder of
8
9
     Certificate Number CSR 12729 issued by the Court
10
     Reporters Board of California and which is in full force
     and effect. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).
11
12
              I am authorized to administer oaths or
13
     affirmations pursuant to California Code of Civil
14
     Procedure, Section 2093(b) and prior to being examined,
15
     the witness was first duly sworn by me. (Fed. R. Civ.
16
     P. 28(a), 30(f)(1).
17
              I am not a relative or employee or attorney or
18
     counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or
19
     employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I
2.0
     financially interested in this action. (Fed. R. Civ. P.
21
     28).
              I am the deposition officer that
22
23
     stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing
24
     deposition and the foregoing transcript is a true record
25
     of the testimony given by the witness. (Fed. R. Civ. P.
```



1	30(f)(1)).
2	Before completion of the deposition, review of
3	the transcript [] was [xx] was not requested. If
4	requested, any changes made by the deponent (and
5	provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are
6	appended hereto. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)).
7	
8	Dated: May 25, 2023
9	Diama L. PRA
10	DIANA L. PORTER, CSR NO. 12729
11	DIANA I. PORTER, CDR NO. 12729
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

